

Justification/Reason for Appeal

Mission and Lincoln Apartments Project

CPC-2022-6189-CU-DB-ZAA-SPR-HCA; ENV-2022-6190-CE

I. REASON FOR THE APPEAL

Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility (“SAFER”) appeals the approval by the City Planning Commission of the Site Plan Review entitlements for the Mission and Lincoln Apartments Project (CPC-2022-6189-CU-DB-ZAA-SPR-HCA; ENV-2022-6190-CE). The Site Plan Review approvals are invalid because they are based on incorrect findings. Specifically, the Los Angeles City Planning Commission’s finding that the project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines (“Infill Exemption”) is incorrect.

II. SPECIFICALLY THE POINTS AT ISSUE

Specifically, the City Planning Commission’s finding that the Project is exempt from CEQA pursuant to Section 15332 of the CEQA Guidelines is in error because the terms of the Exemption do not apply to this Project.

Because the Infill Exemption prepared for the Project fails to comply with CEQA, the City Planning Commission’s approval of the Project’s Site Plan Review entitlements is invalid. Proper CEQA review must be complete *before* the City approves the Project’s entitlements (*Orinda Ass’n. v. Bd. of Supervisors* (1986) 182 Cal.App.3d 1145, 1171 [“No agency may approve a project subject to CEQA until the entire CEQA process is completed and the overall project is lawfully approved”]). Additionally, by failing to properly conduct environmental review under CEQA, the City lacks substantial evidence to support its findings for the Site Plan Review entitlements.

Because the Project does not qualify for an infill exemption, the City Planning Commission’s Project approvals are based upon incorrect findings. The City must fully comply with CEQA prior to any approvals in furtherance of the Project. Since the Project is not exempt from CEQA, the City must prepare an initial study and determine the appropriate level of review required under CEQA prior to *any approvals* in furtherance of the Project.

III. HOW YOU ARE AGGRIEVED BY THE DECISION

Members of appellant, SAFER, live and/or work in the vicinity of the proposed Project. They breathe the air, suffer noise impacts, and will suffer other environmental impacts of the Project unless those impacts are properly mitigated.

IV. WHY YOU BELIEVE THE DECISION-MAKER ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION

The City Planning Commission approved the Site Plan Review (CPC-2022-6189-CU-DB-ZAA-SPR-HCA) and approved an Infill Exemption for the Project, despite a lack of substantial evidence that the Project meets the terms of the Exemption. Rather than exempt the Project from CEQA, the City should have prepared an initial study followed by an EIR or negative declaration in accordance with CEQA prior to consideration of approvals for the Project. The City is not permitted to approve the Project’s entitlements until proper CEQA review has been completed.



APPLICATIONS:

APPEAL APPLICATION

Instructions and Checklist

Related Code Section: Refer to the City Planning case determination to identify the Zone Code section for the entitlement and the appeal procedure.

Purpose: This application is for the appeal of Department of City Planning determinations authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC).

A. APPELLATE BODY/CASE INFORMATION

1. APPELLATE BODY

- Area Planning Commission City Planning Commission City Council Director of Planning
- Zoning Administrator

Regarding Case Number: CPC-2022-6189-CU-DB-ZAA-SPR-HCA

Project Address: 3601-3615 Mission Road/2010-2036 Lincoln Park Avenue

Final Date to Appeal: 07/05/2023

2. APPELLANT

Appellant Identity:
(check all that apply)

- Representative Property Owner
- Applicant Operator of the Use/Site

Person, other than the Applicant, Owner or Operator claiming to be aggrieved

Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility

Person affected by the determination made by the **Department of Building and Safety**

- Representative Owner Aggrieved Party
- Applicant Operator

3. APPELLANT INFORMATION

Appellant's Name: Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility

Company/Organization: Lozeau Drury LLP (representing Appellant)

Mailing Address: 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150

City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94612

Telephone: (510) 836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com

a. Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?

- Self Other: _____

b. Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position? Yes No

4. REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION

Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Richard Drury

Company: Lozeau Drury LLP

Mailing Address: 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150

City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94612

Telephone: (510) 836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com

5. JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEAL

a. Is the entire decision, or only parts of it being appealed? Entire Part

b. Are specific conditions of approval being appealed? Yes No

If Yes, list the condition number(s) here: All entitlement approvals except off-menu density bonus incentive

Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:

- The reason for the appeal
- How you are aggrieved by the decision
- Specifically the points at issue
- Why you believe the decision-maker erred or abused their discretion

6. APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT

I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:

Appellant Signature:  Date: 06/29/2023

GENERAL APPEAL FILING REQUIREMENTS

B. ALL CASES REQUIRE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS - SEE THE ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR SPECIFIC CASE TYPES

1. Appeal Documents

a. **Three (3) sets** - The following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 2 duplicates) Each case being appealed is required to provide three (3) sets of the listed documents.

- Appeal Application (form CP-7769)
- Justification/Reason for Appeal
- Copies of Original Determination Letter

b. Electronic Copy

Provide an electronic copy of your appeal documents on a flash drive (planning staff will upload materials during filing and return the flash drive to you) or a CD (which will remain in the file). The following items must be saved as individual PDFs and labeled accordingly (e.g. "Appeal Form.pdf", "Justification/Reason Statement.pdf", or "Original Determination Letter.pdf" etc.). No file should exceed 9.8 MB in size.

c. Appeal Fee

- Original Applicant - A fee equal to 85% of the original application fee, provide a copy of the original application receipt(s) to calculate the fee per LAMC Section 19.01B 1.
- Aggrieved Party - The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01B 1.

d. Notice Requirement

- Mailing List - All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide noticing per the LAMC
- Mailing Fee - The appeal notice mailing fee is paid by the project applicant, payment is made to the City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC), a copy of the receipt must be submitted as proof of payment.