P: (626) 381-9248 F: (626) 389-5414 E: info@mitchtsailaw.com 139 South Hudson Avenue Suite 200 Pasadena, California 91101

VIA E-MAIL

April 25, 2022

Patricia M. Villagomez, Associate Planner City of Desert Hot Springs 11999 Palm Drive Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

Em: pvillagomez@cityofdhs.org

Jerryl Soriano, City Clerk City of Desert Hot Springs 11999 Palm Drive Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240

Em: cityclerk@cityofdhs.org

RE: <u>April 25, 2022, Special Planning Commission Meeting, Agenda</u>
<u>Item No. 01, Tract Map No. 22-2 Subdivision of Parcel 33 of the</u>
Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project (SCH#:2021080065)

Dear Honorable Commissioners,

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("Southwest Carpenter" or "SWRCC"), my Office is submitting these comments for the City of Desert Hot Springs' ("City") April 25, 2022, Special Planning Commission Meeting for, Agenda Item No. 01, Tract Map No. 22-2 Subdivision of Parcel 33 of the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project (SCH#:2021080065) the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project ("Project").

The Southwest Carpenters is a labor union representing more than 50,000 union carpenters in six states and has a strong interest in well ordered land use planning and addressing the environmental impacts of development projects.

Individual members of the Southwest Carpenters live, work and recreate in the City and surrounding communities and would be directly affected by the Project's environmental impacts.

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 2 of 14

The Southwest Carpenters expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist. (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

SWRCC incorporates by reference all comments raising issues regarding the EIR submitted prior to certification of the EIR for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

Moreover, SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency provide notice for any and all notices referring or related to the Project issued under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), Cal Public Resources Code ("PRC") § 21000 et seq, and the California Planning and Zoning Law ("Planning and Zoning Law"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 65000–65010. California Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2, and 21167(f) and Government Code Section 65092 require agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

The City should require the Applicant provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire and use of a skilled and trained workforce to build the Project. The City should require the use of workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips,

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 3 of 14

reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost – and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.¹

Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.²

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help

¹ California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf

² South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 4 of 14

achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."³

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to requiring that the City "[c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing and nonresidential developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . ."⁴ In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs."⁵

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.⁶

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents.⁷ Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and

³ City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, *available at* https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf.

⁴ City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, *available at* https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%20Specific%20Plan.pdf.

⁵ City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

⁶ California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, *available at* https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf.

⁷ Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (4), 475-490, 482, *available at* http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf.

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 5 of 14

trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than 3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

The City should consider utilizing skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements to benefit the local area economically and mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts.

The City should also require the Project to be built to standards exceeding the current 2019 California Green Building Code to mitigate the Project's environmental impacts and to advance progress towards the State of California's environmental goals.

I. THE PROJECT WOULD BE APPROVED IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. Background Concerning the California Environmental Quality Act

CEQA has two basic purposes. First, CEQA is designed to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of a project. 14 California Code of Regulations ("CCR" or "CEQA Guidelines") § 15002(a)(1).8 "Its purpose is to inform the public and its responsible officials of the environmental consequences of their decisions *before* they are made. Thus, the EIR 'protects not only the environment but also informed self-government.' [Citation.]" *Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553, 564. The EIR has been described as

The CEQA Guidelines, codified in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000 et seq, are regulatory guidelines promulgated by the state Natural Resources Agency for the implementation of CEQA. Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21083. The CEQA Guidelines are given "great weight in interpreting CEQA except when . . . clearly unauthorized or erroneous." Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Fish & Wildlife (2015) 62 Cal. 4th 204, 217.

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 6 of 14

"an environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose it is to alert the public and its responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached ecological points of no return." *Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay v. Bd. of Port Comm'rs.* (2001) 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354 ("*Berkeley Jets"*); *County of Inyo v. Yorty* (1973) 32 Cal. App. 3d 795, 810.

Second, CEQA directs public agencies to avoid or reduce environmental damage, when possible, by requiring alternatives or mitigation measures. CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2) and (3). See also, Berkeley Jets, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354; Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553; Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of the University of California (1988) 47 Cal. 3d 376, 400. The EIR serves to provide public agencies and the public in general with information about the effect that a proposed project is likely to have on the environment and to "identify ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced." CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2). If the project has a significant effect on the environment, the agency may approve the project only upon finding that it has "eliminated or substantially lessened all significant effects on the environment where feasible" and that any unavoidable significant effects on the environment are "acceptable due to overriding concerns" specified in CEQA section 21081. CEQA Guidelines § 15092(b)(2)(A–B).

While the courts review an EIR using an "abuse of discretion" standard, "the reviewing court is not to 'uncritically rely on every study or analysis presented by a project proponent in support of its position.' A 'clearly inadequate or unsupported study is entitled to no judicial deference." *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1355 (emphasis added) (quoting *Laurel Heights*, 47 Cal. 3d at 391, 409 fn. 12). Drawing this line and determining whether the EIR complies with CEQA's information disclosure requirements presents a question of law subject to independent review by the courts. *Sierra Club v. Cnty. of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 515; *Madera Oversight Coalition, Inc. v. County of Madera* (2011) 199 Cal. App. 4th 48, 102, 131. As the court stated in *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th at 1355:

A prejudicial abuse of discretion occurs "if the failure to include relevant information precludes informed decision-making and informed public participation, thereby thwarting the statutory goals of the EIR process.

The preparation and circulation of an EIR is more than a set of technical hurdles for agencies and developers to overcome. The EIR's function is to ensure that government officials who decide to build or approve a project do so with a full

understanding of the environmental consequences and, equally important, that the public is assured those consequences have been considered. For the EIR to serve these goals it must present information so that the foreseeable impacts of pursuing the project can be understood and weighed, and the public must be given an adequate opportunity to comment on that presentation before the decision to go forward is made. *Communities for a Better Environment v. Richmond* (2010) 184 Cal. App. 4th 70, 80 (quoting *Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova* (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449–450).

B. <u>CEQA Requires Revision and Recirculation of an Environmental Impact</u> Report When Substantial Changes or New Information Comes to Light

Section 21092.1 of the California Public Resources Code requires that "[w]hen significant new information is added to an environmental impact report after notice has been given pursuant to Section 21092 ... but prior to certification, the public agency shall give notice again pursuant to Section 21092, and consult again pursuant to Sections 21104 and 21153 before certifying the environmental impact report" in order to give the public a chance to review and comment upon the information. CEQA Guidelines § 15088.5.

Significant new information includes "changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information" that "deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative)." CEQA Guidelines § 15088.5(a). Examples of significant new information requiring recirculation include "new significant environmental impacts from the project or from a new mitigation measure," "substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact," "feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed" as well as when "the draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded." *Id.*

An agency has an obligation to recirculate an environmental impact report for public notice and comment due to "significant new information" regardless of whether the agency opts to include it in a project's environmental impact report. *Cadiz Land Co. v.* Rail Cycle (2000) 83 Cal. App. 4th 74, 95 [finding that in light of a new expert report disclosing potentially significant impacts to groundwater supply "the EIR should have been revised and recirculated for purposes of informing the public and governmental

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 8 of 14

agencies of the volume of groundwater at risk and to allow the public and governmental agencies to respond to such information."]. If significant new information was brought to the attention of an agency prior to certification, an agency is required to revise and recirculate that information as part of the environmental impact report.

C. <u>Due to the COVID-19 Crisis, the City Must Adopt a Mandatory Finding</u> of Significance that the Project May Cause a Substantial Adverse Effect on Human Beings and Mitigate COVID-19 Impacts

CEQA requires that an agency make a finding of significance when a Project may cause a significant adverse effect on human beings. PRC § 21083(b)(3); CEQA Guidelines § 15065(a)(4).

Public health risks related to construction work requires a mandatory finding of significance under CEQA. Construction work has been defined as a Lower to Highrisk activity for COVID-19 spread by the Occupations Safety and Health Administration. Recently, several construction sites have been identified as sources of community spread of COVID-19.9

SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency adopt additional CEQA mitigation measures to mitigate public health risks from the Project's construction activities. SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency require safe on-site construction work practices as well as training and certification for any construction workers on the Project Site.

In particular, based upon SWRCC's experience with safe construction site work practices, SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency require that while construction activities are being conducted at the Project Site:

Construction Site Design:

 The Project Site will be limited to two controlled entry points.

⁹ Santa Clara County Public Health (June 12, 2020) COVID-19 CASES AT CONSTRUCTION SITES HIGHLIGHT NEED FOR CONTINUED VIGILANCE IN SECTORS THAT HAVE REOPENED, available at https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Pages/press-release-06-12-2020-cases-at-construction-sites.aspx.

- Entry points will have temperature screening technicians taking temperature readings when the entry point is open.
- The Temperature Screening Site Plan shows details regarding access to the Project Site and Project Site logistics for conducting temperature screening.
- A 48-hour advance notice will be provided to all trades prior to the first day of temperature screening.
- The perimeter fence directly adjacent to the entry points will be clearly marked indicating the appropriate 6-foot social distancing position for when you approach the screening area. Please reference the Apex temperature screening site map for additional details.
- There will be clear signage posted at the project site directing you through temperature screening.
- Provide hand washing stations throughout the construction site.

Testing Procedures:

- The temperature screening being used are non-contact devices.
- Temperature readings will not be recorded.
- Personnel will be screened upon entering the testing center and should only take 1-2 seconds per individual.
- Hard hats, head coverings, sweat, dirt, sunscreen or any other cosmetics must be removed on the forehead before temperature screening.
- Anyone who refuses to submit to a temperature screening or does not answer the health screening questions will be refused access to the Project Site.
- Screening will be performed at both entrances from 5:30 am to 7:30 am.; main gate [ZONE 1] and personnel gate [ZONE 2]

- After 7:30 am only the main gate entrance [ZONE 1] will continue to be used for temperature testing for anybody gaining entry to the project site such as returning personnel, deliveries, and visitors.
- If the digital thermometer displays a temperature reading above 100.0 degrees Fahrenheit, a second reading will be taken to verify an accurate reading.
- If the second reading confirms an elevated temperature, DHS will instruct the individual that he/she will not be allowed to enter the Project Site. DHS will also instruct the individual to promptly notify his/her supervisor and his/her human resources (HR) representative and provide them with a copy of Annex A.

Planning

• Require the development of an Infectious Disease Preparedness and Response Plan that will include basic infection prevention measures (requiring the use of personal protection equipment), policies and procedures for prompt identification and isolation of sick individuals, social distancing (prohibiting gatherings of no more than 10 people including all-hands meetings and all-hands lunches) communication and training and workplace controls that meet standards that may be promulgated by the Center for Disease Control, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Cal/OSHA, California Department of Public Health or applicable local public health agencies.¹⁰

The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Carpenters International Training Fund has developed COVID-19 Training and Certification to ensure that Carpenter union members and apprentices conduct safe work practices. The Agency should require that

See also The Center for Construction Research and Training, North America's Building Trades Unions (April 27 2020) NABTU and CPWR COVIC-19 Standards for U.S Constructions Sites, available at https://www.cpwr.com/sites/default/files/
NABTU CPWR Standards COVID-19.pdf; Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (2020) Guidelines for Construction Sites During COVID-19 Pandemic, available at https://dpw.lacounty.gov/building-and-safety/docs/pw guidelines-construction-sites.pdf.

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 11 of 14

all construction workers undergo COVID-19 Training and Certification before being allowed to conduct construction activities at the Project Site.

SWRCC has also developed a rigorous Infection Control Risk Assessment ("**ICRA**") training program to ensure it delivers a workforce that understands how to identify and control infection risks by implementing protocols to protect themselves and all others during renovation and construction projects in healthcare environments.¹¹

ICRA protocols are intended to contain pathogens, control airflow, and protect patients during the construction, maintenance and renovation of healthcare facilities. ICRA protocols prevent cross contamination, minimizing the risk of secondary infections in patients at hospital facilities.

The City should require the Project to be built using a workforce trained in ICRA protocols.

D. <u>Proposed Tract Map No. 22-2 is Improperly Segmented from the Project's Environmental Impact Report. Therefore, the Staff Report Fails to Consider the Whole of an Action</u>

CEQA provides that a public agency may not divide a single project into smaller individual subprojects to avoid responsibility for considering the environmental impact of the project as a whole. Orinda Ass'n v Board of Supervisors (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 1145, 1171. CEQA "cannot be avoided by chopping up proposed projects into bite-sized pieces which, individually considered, might be found to have no significant effect on the environment or to be only ministerial." Tuolumne County Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v City of Sonora (2007) 155 Cal App. 4th 1214; Association for a Cleaner Env't v Yosemite Community College Dist. (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th 629, 638; Plan for Arcadia, Inc. v City Council (1974) 42 Cal. App. 3d 712, 726.

"Project' means the whole of an action, which has a potential for resulting in either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment [including] [a]n activity directly undertaken by any public agency...." 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15378(a).

A project is defined broadly in order to maximize environmental protection. *City of Santee v. County of San Diego* (Santee) (1989) 214 Cal.App.3d 1438, 1452; *McQueen v. Board of Directors of the Mid-peninsula Regional Open Space District* (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d

¹¹ For details concerning SWRCC's ICRA training program, see https://icrahealthcare.com/.

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 12 of 14

1136, 1143 (disapproved on other grounds). A project must be defined and accurately described to ensure an "intelligent evaluation of the potential environmental effects of a proposed activity." *Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority v. Hensler* (1991) 233 Cal.App.3d 577, 592 (citing *McQueen v. Bd. of Directors*, supra, 202 Cal.App.3d at 1143-44).

Before undertaking a project, the lead agency must assess the environmental impacts of all reasonably foreseeable phases of a project, and a public agency may not segment a large project into two or more smaller projects. See e.g., *McQueen v. Bd. of Supervisors* (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 1136, 1146-47. An agency may not limit its ability to consider feasible project alternatives or mitigation measures by approving project-related agreements before completion of a CEQA compliant review. See e.g. *Kings County Farm Bureau*, 221 Cal.App.3d at 736; *Save Tara v. City of West Hollywood* (2008) 45 Cal.4th 116; *San Joaquin Raptor/Wildlife Rescue Center v. Cnty. of Stanislaus* (1994) 27 Cal.App.4th 713, 730 (held use of "truncated project concept" violated CEQA where EIR was otherwise adequate).

Tract Map No. 22-2, proposes to subdivide parcel 33 within the Coachillin specific plan, APN 666-340-040. According to the staff report, "[o]n February 23, 2022, the applicant, Coachillin Holdings, LLC submitted a Tentative Parcel Map (No. 38250) to subdivide APN 666-340-040 (Parcel 33 within Coachillin Specific Plan) into two (2) separate parcels only, with no construction proposed at this time." ¹²

However, parcel 33 is already under environmental review for a development Project submitted by the same applicant to the city. On August 4, 2021, the City published the initial study and notice of preparation of an environmental impact report for the Coachillin' Industrial Cultivation and Ancillary Business Park Project, stating as the Project location, "Assessor's Parcel Number: 666-340-008 through 666-340-053." Tract Map No. 22-2 should be considered part of this same Project.

Coachillin' Industrial Cultivation and Ancillary Business Park Project proposes development of a 5,000 people amphitheater to host four concerts a month, a 420 spaces parking lot and a 175-guest hotel within a 4-story, 150,000 square foot building

¹² Staff Report for April 25, 2022, Planning Commission special meeting agenda, Item No. 01. *Available at*, https://cityofdhs.civicweb.net/document/17418/

¹³ Initial Study, Available at, https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/271964-1/attachment/2JG2
oTe9jCswVY zUiy--RSi3eZYgkAhGCb 8DNrMAsSBXGeDmIBLwF15OLhXFL7aXIB
IKKUyFZRIIkQ0
IKKUyFZRIIkQ0
https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/271964-1/attachment/2JG2
<a hre

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 13 of 14

proposes within parcels 30, 31. Parcel 25 would be re-zoned to provide space for private power generation and other industrial uses.

Besides the major changes to parcels 30, 31 and 25, the Project description reads "[p]lease note that this project description summarizes the major changes to the Specific Plan. There have also been minor changes to clarify meaning"¹⁴

In addition to the above-mentioned major changes, the Project seeks to eliminate the requirement of obtaining development permits for certain uses within the amended specific plan;¹⁵ As well as, to amend the development standards and design guidelines within the project area encompassing Assessor's Parcel Numbers 666-340-008 through 666-340-053, which includes parcel 33 within the Coachillin' Specific Plan, APN 666-340-040.

Some of the land use entitlements and their environmental review process relating to Parcel 33 are truncated from the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project, and not properly disclosed or analyzed in the staff report. This must be cured, to ensure that the impacts caused by both the Tract Map No. 22-2 and the rest of the land use entitlements are not independently evaluated, and improperly segmented from the CEQA process.

Therefore, the Environmental Impact Report should be amended and recirculated to include the proposed tract map subdivision, so that the Project's cumulative environmental effects are analyzed in a whole action.

II. CONCLUSION

The Southwest Carpenters request that the City revise and recirculate the Project's environmental impact report to address the aforementioned concerns. If the City has any questions or concerns, feel free to contact my Office.

Notice of Completion and Environmental Document Transmittal, available at, https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/271964-1/attachment/9hZHyZX7Z0LZrbanpvC4 JgURPMSivs1omUcXKxParScKzMhG653AH0CJdW2w3mg6O-WHRbg6MDhI3zBO0

Draft Environmental Impact report, p. 2-11, available at, https://files.ceqanet.opr.ca.gov/271964-2/attachment/bR5IyATl vyUF1HLbEOcLxYjZWShQs K6tcskhwIDQ7x OqRVXaYhVyrPrIIg0hvryNt39S6CtOft4s0

City of Desert Hot Springs – Tract Map No. 22-2, Subdivision for the Coachillin' Specific Plan Amendment Project April 25, 2022 Page 14 of 14

Sincerely,

Mitchell M. Tsai

Attorneys for the Southwest

Regional Council of Carpenters

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B); and

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C).