

155 South El Molino Avenue Suite 104 Pasadena, California 91101

VIA E-MAIL

July 12, 2021 Santee City Council City Council Chambers 10691 Magnolia Avenue Santee, CA 92071 Michael Coyne, Associate Planner City of Santee 10601 Magnolia Avenue Santee, CA 92071 Em: <u>mcoyne@cityofsanteeca.gov</u>

RE: <u>Agenda Item No. 10, General Plan Amendment No. 2019-2 and</u> <u>Negative Declaration AEIS2019-6</u>

Dear Mayor Minto, Honorable Council Members, and Mr. Coyne,

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("Commenter" or "Carpenter"), my Office is submitting these comments on the City of Santee's ("City" or "Lead Agency") Initial Study/Environmental Checklist ("IS/ND") (SCH No. 2021030332) for the 2021-2029 update to the City's General Plan Housing Element ("Project").

The Southwest Carpenters is a labor union representing more than 50,000 union carpenters in six states and has a strong interest in well ordered land use planning and addressing the environmental impacts of development projects.

Individual members of the Southwest Carpenters live, work, and recreate in the City and surrounding communities and would be directly affected by the Project's environmental impacts.

Commenters expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 2 of 21

Commenters expressly reserves the right to supplement these comments at or prior to hearings on the Project, and at any later hearings and proceedings related to this Project. Cal. Gov. Code § 65009(b); Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21177(a); *Bakersfield Citizens for Local Control v. Bakersfield* (2004) 124 Cal. App. 4th 1184, 1199-1203; see *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Water Dist.* (1997) 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121.

Commenters incorporates by reference all comments raising issues regarding the environmental document submitted prior to approval of the environmental document for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

Moreover, Commenter requests that the Lead Agency provide notice for any and all notices referring or related to the Project issued under the California Environmental Quality Act ("**CEQA**"), Cal Public Resources Code ("**PRC**") § 21000 *et seq*, and the California Planning and Zoning Law ("**Planning and Zoning Law**"), Cal. Gov't Code §§ 65000–65010. California Public Resources Code Sections 21092.2, and 21167(f) and Government Code Section 65092 require agencies to mail such notices to any person who has filed a written request for them with the clerk of the agency's governing body.

The City should require the City provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire and use of a skilled and trained workforce to build the Project. The City should require the use of workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 3 of 21

reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost – and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.¹

Local skilled and trained workforce requirements and policies have significant environmental benefits since they improve an area's jobs-housing balance, decreasing the amount of and length of job commutes and their associated greenhouse gas emissions. Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.²

¹ California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* <u>https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/</u> wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf

² South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, *available at* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/defaultsource/Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10</u>

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."³

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to requiring that the City "c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing and nonresidential developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . ."⁴ In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs."⁵

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.⁶

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents.⁷ Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and

³ City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf</u>.

⁴ City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, *available at* <u>https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%</u> <u>20Specific%20Plan.pdf</u>.

⁵ City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

⁶ California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, *available at* <u>https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf</u>

⁷ Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association

City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 5 of 21

trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than 3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

The City should consider utilizing skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements to benefit the local area economically and mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts.

I. THE PROJECT WOULD BE APPROVED IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. Background Concerning the California Environmental Quality Act

CEQA has two basic purposes. First, CEQA is designed to inform decision makers and the public about the potential, significant environmental effects of a project. 14 California Code of Regulations ("**CCR**" or "**CEQA Guidelines**") § 15002(a)(1).⁸ "Its purpose is to inform the public and its responsible officials of the environmental consequences of their decisions *before* they are made. Thus, the EIR 'protects not only the environment but also informed self-government.' [Citation.]" *Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 553, 564. The EIR has been described as "an environmental 'alarm bell' whose purpose it is to alert the public and its

^{72 (4), 475-490, 482,} *available at* <u>http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf</u>.

⁸ The CEQA Guidelines, codified in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, section 15000 *et seq*, are regulatory guidelines promulgated by the state Natural Resources Agency for the implementation of CEQA. (Cal. Pub. Res. Code § 21083.) The CEQA Guidelines are given "great weight in interpreting CEQA except when . . . clearly unauthorized or erroneous." *Center for Biological Diversity v. Department of Fish & Wildlife* (2015) 62 Cal. 4th 204, 217.

responsible officials to environmental changes before they have reached ecological points of no return." *Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay v. Bd. of Port Comm'rs.* (2001) 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354 ("*Berkeley Jets*"); *County of Inyo v. Yorty* (1973) 32 Cal. App. 3d 795, 810.

Second, CEQA directs public agencies to avoid or reduce environmental damage when possible by requiring alternatives or mitigation measures. CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2) and (3). See also, Berkeley Jets, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1354; Citizens of Goleta Valley v. Board of Supervisors (1990) 52 Cal.3d 553; Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of the University of California (1988) 47 Cal. 3d 376, 400. The EIR serves to provide public agencies and the public in general with information about the effect that a proposed project is likely to have on the environment and to "identify ways that environmental damage can be avoided or significantly reduced." CEQA Guidelines § 15002(a)(2). If the project has a significant effect on the environment, the agency may approve the project only upon finding that it has "eliminated or substantially lessened all significant effects on the environment are "acceptable due to overriding concerns" specified in CEQA section 21081. CEQA Guidelines § 15092(b)(2)(A–B).

While the courts review an EIR using an "abuse of discretion" standard, "the reviewing court is not to 'uncritically rely on every study or analysis presented by a project proponent in support of its position.' A 'clearly inadequate or unsupported study is entitled to no judicial deference." *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1355 (emphasis added) (quoting *Laurel Heights*, 47 Cal. 3d at 391, 409 fn. 12). Drawing this line and determining whether the EIR complies with CEQA's information disclosure requirements presents a question of law subject to independent review by the courts. *Sierra Club v. Cnty. of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 515; *Madera Oversight Coalition, Inc. v. County of Madera* (2011) 199 Cal. App. 4th 48, 102, 131. As the court stated in *Berkeley Jets*, 91 Cal. App. 4th at 1355:

A prejudicial abuse of discretion occurs "if the failure to include relevant information precludes informed decision-making and informed public participation, thereby thwarting the statutory goals of the EIR process.

The preparation and circulation of an EIR is more than a set of technical hurdles for agencies and developers to overcome. The EIR's function is to ensure that government officials who decide to build or approve a project do so with a full understanding of the environmental consequences and, equally important, that the public is assured those consequences have been considered. For the EIR to serve these goals it must present information so that the foreseeable impacts of pursuing the project can be understood and weighed, and the public must be given an adequate opportunity to comment on that presentation before the decision to go forward is made. *Communities for a Better Environment v. Richmond* (2010) 184 Cal. App. 4th 70, 80 (quoting *Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v. City of Rancho Cordova* (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 449–450).

B. <u>The City Needs to Revise and Recirculate the IS / ND as a Mitigated</u> <u>Negative Declaration or Environmental Impact Report</u>

A negative declaration "shall" be adopted if the initial study shows *no substantial evidence* that the project may have a significant effect on the environment or if the project's effects can be mitigated to the extent that there is no substantial evidence in light of the whole record that the revised project may have a significant effect on the environment. Pub. Res. Code § 21080(c); CEQA Guidelines §§ 15063(b)(2), 15064(f)(2)–(3), 15070. If, however, the lead agency finds substantial evidence in the initial study or elsewhere in the record that the project may have a significant effect on the environment that cannot be mitigated, the agency must prepare an EIR or use a previously prepared EIR. CEQA Guidelines §§ 15063(b)(1), 15064(f)(1).

Thus, the crucial issue in determining whether to adopt a negative declaration, and whether to approve the project on the basis of the negative declaration, is whether there is a fair argument backed by substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment, either as proposed or as revised to mitigate potential significant effects. An EIR is required if such a fair argument can be made.

CEQA defines a "significant effect on the environment" as "a substantial or potentially substantial adverse change in the environment." Pub. Res. Code § 21068.

An effect on the environment need not be "momentous" or "important" to meet the CEQA test for significance. The term "significant" covers a spectrum ranging from "not trivial" through "appreciable" to "important" and even "momentous." See *No Oil, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles* (1974) 13 Cal. 3d 68, 83.

i. The City failed to establish with substantial evidence that the Project would not have any significant environmental impacts requiring mitigation.

An agency's determination whether to classify a particular impact as a significant effect on the environment involves the exercise of discretion. *Jensen v. City of Santa Rosa* (2018) 23 Cal. App. 5th 877, 887. It "calls for careful judgment on the part of the public agency involved, based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data." CEQA Guidelines §15064(b)(1). Distinguishing between substantial and insubstantial environmental effects requires that the agency make a policy decision based in part on the setting. *W.M. Barr & Co. v. South Coast Air Quality Mgmt. Dist.* (2012) 207 Cal. App. 4th 406, 433.

When new information is brought to light showing that an impact previously discussed in the DEIR (or IS/ND) but found to be insignificant with or without mitigation in the DEIR's analysis has the potential for a significant environmental impact supported by substantial evidence, the EIR must consider and resolve the conflict in the evidence. See Visalia Retail, L.P. v. City of Visalia (2018) 20 Cal. App. 5th 1, 13, 17; see also Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th 1099, 1109. While a lead agency has discretion to formulate standards for determining significance and the need for mitigation measures-the choice of any standards or thresholds of significance must be "based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data and an exercise of reasoned judgment based on substantial evidence. CEQA Guidelines § 15064(b); Cleveland Nat'l Forest Found. v. San Diego Ass'n of Gov'ts (2017) 3 Cal. App. 5th 497, 515; Mission Bay Alliance v. Office of Community Inv. & Infrastructure (2016) 6 Cal. App. 5th 160, 206. And when there is evidence that an impact could be significant, an EIR cannot adopt a contrary finding without providing an adequate explanation along with supporting evidence. East Sacramento Partnership for a Livable City v. City of Sacramento (2016) 5 Cal. App. 5th 281, 302.

In addition, a determination that regulatory compliance will be sufficient to prevent significant adverse impacts must be based on a project-specific analysis of potential impacts and the effect of regulatory compliance. In *Californians for Alternatives to Toxics v. Department of Food & Agric.* (2005) 136 Cal. App. 4th 1, the court set aside an EIR for a statewide crop disease control plan because it did not include an evaluation of the risks to the environment and human health from the proposed program but simply presumed that no adverse impacts would occur from use of pesticides in accordance with the registration and labeling program of the California Department of Portection (2008) 43 Cal. App. 4th 936, 956 (fact that Department of Pesticide

City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 9 of 21

Regulation had assessed environmental effects of certain herbicides in general did not excuse failure to assess effects of their use for specific timber harvesting project).

Here, the level and degree of analysis included in the Initial Study / Environmental Checklist Form for the City of Santee Housing Element Update (Sixth Cycle 2021 0 2020 ("**IS / ND**")to determine whether any particular impact is significant fails to meet CEQA requirements based upon substantial evidence. Based on the City's current Regional Housing Needs Assessment Allocation, it must provide at least another 1,219 housing units by 2029, and *nowhere in the IS / ND does the City analyze the potentially significant impacts, in any category, of future development*. (IS / ND, 17-46.)

Rather, the City brushes aside its obligation to adequately analyze *any* environmental impacts relating to its updated Housing Element by suggesting that the HEU is only a policy document and does not incorporate rezones as part of the Project to meet its RHNA allocation. (*See, e.g.*, IS / ND at 36.) As a result, the City found no impacts to any CEQA impact category. Yet, Appendix C of the incorporated HEU document is a Sites Inventory identifying 28/37 sites for rezoning to meet the City's RHNA allocation. The City cannot then state potential impacts are too ethereal to analyze at the HEU stage. The impacts of anticipated growth resulting from rezoning at specific sites needs to be analyzed for each CEQA impact category found in Appendix G.⁹

The City needs to revise and recirculate the IS / ND as an MND or EIR with adequate environmental analysis of all CEQA issues.

a. The IS / ND unlawfully piecemeals its environmental analysis

The City's decision to omit analysis of the potentially significant impacts of future development prompted by rezoning and upzoning of areas of land called for by the updated Housing Element unlawfully piecemeals the environmental analysis for this Project.

CEQA provides that a public agency may not divide a single project into smaller individual subprojects to avoid responsibility for considering the environmental impact of the project as a whole. *Orinda Ass'n v Board of Supervisors* (1986) 182 Cal. App. 3d 1145, 1171. CEQA "cannot be avoided by chopping up proposed projects into bite-sized pieces which, individually considered, might be found to have no significant

⁹ CEQA Appendix G, Environmental Checklist Form, available at <u>https://resources.ca.gov/</u> <u>CNRALegacyFiles/ceqa/docs/ab52/final-approved-appendix-G.pdf</u>.

City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 10 of 21

effect on the environment or to be only ministerial." *Tuolumne County Citizens for Responsible Growth, Inc. v City of Sonora* (2007) 155 Cal App. 4th 1214; *Association for a Cleaner Env't v Yosemite Community College Dist.* (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th 629, 638; *Plan for Arcadia, Inc. v City Council* (1974) 42 Cal. App. 3d 712, 726.

By specifically omitting the rezoning and upzoning for higher density residential uses required by the updated Housing Element, the City is violating CEQA by unlawfully limiting the scope of environmental analysis the IS / MND.

b. The IS / ND unlawfully omits information

Finally, the IS / ND unlawfully omits information by excluding any discussion of the potentially significant impacts of the rezoning and upzoning for higher density residential uses required by the updated Housing Element.

CEQA requires that an environmental document identify and discuss the significant effects of a Project, alternatives and how those significant effects can be mitigated or avoided. CEQA Guidelines § 15126.2; PRC §§ 21100(b)(1), 21002.1(a). An environmental documents discussion of potentially significant effects must "provide an adequate analysis to inform the public how its bare numbers translate to create potential adverse impacts or it must adequately explain what the agency does know and why, given existing scientific constraints, it cannot translate potential health impacts further." *Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 521; *see also* citing *Laurel Heights Improvement Assn. v. Regents of University of California* (1988) 47 Cal.3d 376, 405; *see also* PRC §§ 21002.1(e), 21003(b).

The Court may determine whether a CEQA environmental document sufficiently discloses information required by CEQA *de novo* as "noncompliance with the information disclosure provisions" of CEQA is a failure to proceed in a manner required by law. PRC § 21005(a); *see also Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502, 515; CEQA Guidelines.

ii. The IS / ND fails to include a water supply assessment and findings backed by substantial evidence.

Under SB 610, specific projections about water availability must be developed before certain large development projects to be served by a public water system may be approved. Water Code §§10910–10915; CEQA Guidelines§15155; *Gray v. County of Madera* (2008) 167 Cal. App. 4th 1099, 1131 (SB 610 applies to projects to be served by public water systems, not private systems). The public water system identified as the

City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 11 of 21

water provider for a proposed project must prepare a water supply assessment that is then to be included in the EIR or negative declaration prepared for a project. *Madera Oversight Coalition, Inc. v. County of Madera* (2011) 199 Cal. App. 4th 48, 96, overruled on other grounds in *Neighbors for Smart Rail v. Exposition Metro Line Constr. Auth.* (2013) 57 Cal. App. 4th 439. Senate Bill 610 applies when a city or county determines that a "project" subject to CEQA would result in the construction of 500 or more dwelling units. Water Code §10912 and CEQA Guidelines §15155(a)(1).

Here, the IS / ND does include any analysis of water supply that would meet future population growth associated with development of 1,219 additional housing units for the 2021-2029 RHNA period. The City must prepare a water supply assessment for the Project that meets the requirements of SB 610. Water Code §§ 10910–10915; CEQA Guidelines§ 15155; *Gray v. County of Madera* (2008) 167 Cal. App. 4th 1099, 1131 (SB 610 applies to projects to be served by public water systems, not private systems).

C. <u>Certification of the Negative Declaration and General Plan Amendment</u> is Premature Before HCD Has Submitted Final Comments and Approval

First, the California Department of Housing and Community Development has transmitted many comments to the City relating to its HEU which the City has still failed to adequately address. (Jul. 14 Staff Report, 104-6.) These comments point out specific deficiencies with respect to the City's approach to AFFH and its sites inventory. (*Id.*) The City has not adequately addressed these comments and is still in negotiation with HCD regarding the adequacy of its Draft HEU. Thus, the City cannot now approve or certify environmental review for the HEU because HCD has not yet issued its final approval for the Project. The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) plays the critical role of reviewing every local government's housing element to determine whether it complies with state law and then submits written findings back to each local government. HCD's approval is *required* before a local government can adopt its housing element as part of its overall General Plan.¹⁰

It is consequently inexplicable why the City chose to charge forward with the Project as if the required Housing Element updates are not critically important to the

¹⁰ See <u>https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-element/index.shtml# hesubmittal</u>.

completeness of the Project or the sufficiency of the EIR or ND. It is unclear why the City elected instead to prematurely seek certification of the ND and adopt the General Plan amendment, despite both documents being likely to change along with the Housing Element. Being incomplete and subject to considerable change, the Housing Element' environmental impacts and therefore the HEU's environmental impacts are currently undeterminable.

If the City moves forward with the General Plan Update and certification of the ND, the City will need to issue a subsequent or supplemental ND or EIR for further public comment after its amendment of the HEU and recertify the ND or EIR. Substantial changes to the EIR or ND may trigger additional review, as well as new information showing more substantial or severe environmental impacts. Cal. Pub Res. Code §§21166, 21167.2; CEQA Guidelines §§15162–15163; see *Friends of the College of San Mateo Gardens v. San Mateo County Community College Dist.* (2016) 1 Cal.App.5th 937, 956. It will additionally be required to yet again amend the General Plan to reflect changes to the HEU.

The City should wait to issue approvals or certification until final approval has been received from HCD.

II. THE PROJECT FAILS TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION AND ANALYSES REQUIRED OF ALL HOUSING ELEMENTS

A. <u>Background Concerning Housing Elements</u>

Housing Elements of General Plans are the planning tools through which local governments ensure they make "adequate provision for the existing and projected housing needs" as determined through the share of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation ("**RHNA**") process. See Gov. Code § 65580(d). As specified in Gov. Code § 65580 et seq., Housing Elements must include particular information and analyses related to existing and projected housing needs, constraints relative to meeting those needs, and the local government's specific plans to help fulfill those needs. Housing Elements that fail to provide required information and analyses may be deemed by the state or courts to be out of compliance with the law and the local government may be subject to substantial consequences. See Gov. Code § 65754, 65754.5, and 65755.

B. <u>The City's Housing Element Fails to Provide Required Information and</u> <u>Analyses</u> The City's Housing Element update is missing critically important information and analyses required by law. Those deficiencies include:

- The failure to describe diligent efforts to include all economic segments of the community in the development and update of the housing element and a summary of the public input received and a description of how it will be considered and incorporated into the housing element, Gov. Code, § 65583(c)(8);
- Failure to report on and analyze implementation of the 5th Cycle Housing Element's programs, Gov. Code, § 65588(a), (b);
- Failure to evaluate employment trends, to quantify the need for housing affordable to extremely low-income households, and to analyze of the housing characteristics, Gov. Code, § 65583(a);
- Inadequate analysis of governmental and non-governmental constraints on housing development, such as development fees, local permitting time, and land use controls, Gov. Code, § 65583(a)(5);
- Inadequate identification and analysis of the special housing needs in the City, including the housing needs of people with disabilities and large families, Gov. Code, § 65583(a)(7);
- The absence of a site-specific inventory of land "suitable for residential development" and be available for housing development within the planning period to accommodate the City's RHNA, Gov. Code, § 65583(a)(3), 65583.2;
- Inadequate programs to remove constraints to the development of housing for lower-income households and people with disabilities, Gov. Code, § 65583(c);
- Absence of a program to make sites available to accommodate the RHNA during the planning period, Gov. Code, § 65583(c)(1);

- Failure to identify the agencies and officials responsible for the implementation of the various program actions, Gov. Code, § 65583(c)(8).
- Failure to demonstrate that manufactured housing is permitted in the same manner and in the same zones as conventionally constructed housing, Gov. Code, § 65582.3;
- Failure to identify a zone or zones where emergency shelters are allowed as a permitted use without discretionary review, Gov. Code § 65583(a)(4)(A);
- Lack of actions to promote fair housing and to affirmatively further fair housing, Gov. Code, § 65583(c)(5); and
- Absence of quantified objectives that estimate by income level the number of units that can be constructed, rehabilitated, and conserved over the planning period, Gov. Code, § 65583(b).

These deficiencies in the City's Housing Element must be addressed to fulfill the City's obligations and avoid noncompliance with the housing element law.

i. <u>The Proposed Housing Element Fails to Include an Adequate Program to</u> <u>Affirmatively Further Fair Housing.</u>

For housing elements updated after January 1, 2021, the program to affirmatively further fair housing must include all of the following pursuant to Gov. Code (55583(b)(10)(A)(i)-(v)):

- A summary of fair housing issues in the jurisdiction and an assessment of the jurisdiction's fair housing enforcement and fair housing outreach capacity;
- An analysis of available federal, state, and local data and knowledge to identify integration and segregation patterns and trends, racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty, disparities in access to opportunity, and disproportionate housing needs within the jurisdiction, including displacement risk;

- An assessment of the contributing factors for the fair housing issues identified under the foregoing analysis;
- An identification of the jurisdiction's fair housing priorities and goals, giving highest priority to those factors identified in the foregoing assessment that limit or deny fair housing choice or access to opportunity, or negatively impact fair housing or civil rights compliance, and identifying the metrics and milestones for determining what fair housing results will be achieved; and
- Strategies and actions to implement those priorities and goals, which may include (but are not limited to) enhancing mobility strategies and encouraging development of new affordable housing in areas of opportunity, as well as place-based strategies to encourage community revitalization, including preservation of existing affordable housing, and protecting existing residents from displacement.

For purposes of Gov. Code §65584(d)(5), "affirmatively furthering fair housing" means taking meaningful actions, in addition to combating discrimination, that overcome patterns of segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. Specifically, affirmatively furthering fair housing means taking meaningful actions that, taken together, address significant disparities in housing needs and in access to opportunity, replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity, and fostering and maintaining compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws. Gov. Code §65584(e).

Here, the proposed Housing Element does not meet the above requirements. The HEU contains a section on "Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing," but the HEU fails to include all the necessary elements required by Gov. Code §65584—most notably failing to take any meaningful action to address fair housing and discrimination. The City has not adequately studied or characterized the problem *in Santee* and instead defers to the Regional Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing Choice completed in July 2020 for the entire San Diego region. There is no specific study or analysis of fair housing and discrimination in Santee. Furthermore, the City has identified action steps for Santee, but does incorporate those steps into

the HEU. Implementation of steps is required, not merely discussion of possible courses of action.

In any event, without specific local data or assessment required by §65583(b), it is unclear how the Santee will address Fair Housing issues in their jurisdiction.

The IS / ND needs to include a meaningful analysis of Fair Housing issues in the City and implement concrete steps which are included in the Housing Element to address Fair Housing as required by Gov. Code (5583(b)(10)(A)(i)-(v)).

Additionally, site inventories should be conducted to further the goals of fair housing, addressing problem areas identified in the City's assessment of fair housing issues.¹¹ The City should also implement "identification of metrics or quantified objectives and milestones for determining what fair housing results will be achieved."¹²

Lastly, the City has not developed or incorporated any substantive programs to address fair housing issues in the Draft HEU. The City has essentially concluded that it does not suffer from any significant fair housing setbacks despite failing to adequately characterize or analyze potential problem areas. (Draft HEU, 4-14~15.)

The only policy or program that Commenter could locate to address potential issues was found in *Policy Action 4A* which vaguely calls for taking meaningful action while leaving out any specific details on what any plan may entail. This is inadequate and needs to be addressed in an amended Draft HEU. HCD's Guidance is clear that specific goals and actions are required, such as:

- Enhancing mobility strategies and promoting inclusion for protected classes;
- Encouraging development of new affordable housing in high-resource areas;
- Implementing place-based strategies to encourage community revitalization, including preservation of existing affordable housing; and

¹¹ *Id.* at 12.

¹² *Id.* at 13.

- Protecting existing residents from displacement. ¹³
 - *ii.* <u>The Proposed Housing Element Includes an Inadequate and Flawed Inventory</u> <u>of Sites Available for Housing Development.</u>

Commenters are particularly concerned about a number of issues with the draft HEU, including:

- A failure to assess in the sites inventory any parcel's *likelihood* of development to satisfy RHNA requirements;
- Vacant sites are identified to satisfy RHNA requirements which may not be suitable for development; and
- The City relies on ADU production to satisfy affordable housing requirements which likely will never come to fruition or will not be available to rent.

Planning's process for selecting sites and assessing their capacity seemingly fails to account for any parcel's *likelihood of development*, and its draft site inventory includes many parcels where housing development may or could be extremely unlikely.

A site-specific analysis needs to be performed for all vacant and non-vacant sites without making such capacity assumptions. The Draft HEU's capacity assumptions also, again, fail to account for the likelihood that a site will be developed or redeveloped.

An accurate assessment of the site inventory's housing capacity is necessary for the housing element to achieve sufficient housing production. The site capacity estimate should account for the following two factors:

- 1. What is the likelihood that the site will be developed during the planning period?
- 2. If the site were to be developed during the planning period, how many net new units of housing are likely to be built on it?

¹³ *Id.* at 13.

The portion of the jurisdiction's RHNA target that a site will realistically accommodate during the planning period is:

(likelihood of development) \propto (net new units if developed) = realistic capacity¹⁴.

Recommendations:

- 1. Provide a quantitative estimate of parcels' development probabilities, and incorporate this factor into the estimate of sites' realistic capacity.
- 2. Report the proportion of sites in the previous housing element's inventory that were developed during the planning period.
- 3. Remove parcels from the site inventory where redevelopment is unlikely to occur during the 6th Cycle.
- 4. Commit to a mid-cycle review to verify Planning's assumptions about development probabilities. If it turns out that sites within a tier, or category, were developed at a lower-than-expected rate during the first half of the cycle, then the city should rezone for additional capacity or make other appropriate adjustments for the second half of the planning period.
- 5. Identify sufficient sites to provide a 15-30% No Net.

Secondly, it appears that Planning may have counted many vacant sites towards specific income RHNA targets, despite their potential unsuitability for housing production. Planning must not include "vacant" sites that have no realistic chance of being developed. As with the Suitable Sites inventory, these sites must be discounted by their likelihood of development. Since the likelihood of development for some of these sites could effectively be zero, they should be excluded from Planning's list of vacant sites after further review.

Recommendations:

1. Exclude all vacant parcels that are unsuitable for residential development due to size, shape, gradient, location, and lack of street access.

¹⁴ See HCD June 10, 2020 Memo re Housing Element Site Inventory Guidebook Gov. Code Sec. 65583.2, available at <u>https://www.hcd.ca.gov/community-development/housing-</u> element/docs/sites inventory memo_final06102020.pdf.

2. Provide a quantitative estimate of parcels' development probabilities, and incorporate this factor into the estimate of sites' realistic capacity.

Lastly, Planning should not rely on an overly optimistic forecast of future ADU production which is unlikely to be achieved. There is also no evidence that ADU production in the City is appreciably adding to the housing stock. There is a difference between an ADU that is put on the rental market and one that is merely used internally by the owner.

C. <u>The IS / ND Fails to Demonstrate Consistency with the State Housing</u> <u>Law's Regional Housing Needs Assessment Requirements and the City's</u> <u>Obligations to Fulfill those Requirements in its Housing Element</u>

State law requires that jurisdictions provide their fair share of regional housing needs and adopt a general plan for future growth (California Government Code Section 65300). The California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) is mandated to determine state-wide housing needs by income category for each Council of Governments (COG) throughout the state. The housing need is determined based on four broad household income categories: very low (households making less than 50 percent of median family income), low (50 to 80 percent of median family income), moderate (80 to 120 percent of median family income), and above moderate (more than 120 percent of median family income). The intent of the future needs allocation by income groups is to relieve the undue concentration of very low and low-income households in a single jurisdiction and to help allocate resources in a fair and equitable manner.

CEQA Guidelines section 15125(d) requires that an environmental impact report "discuss any inconsistencies between the proposed project and applicable general plans, specific plans and regional plans. *See also Golden Door Properties, LLC v. County of San Diego* (2020) 50 Cal. App. 5th 467, 543.

The City's Regional Housing Needs Assessment Allocation (RHNA) is 1,219 units (203 extremely low income; 203 very low income; 200 low income; 188 moderate income; and 425 above moderate income) (IS/ND, 64.). As of July 2020, the City had permitted more than its allocation of moderate and above moderate-income housing units, but *none* are planned or permitted for below moderate-income residents. (IS / ND, 65.) It is not sufficient that the City merely identify sites that could accommodate

its RHNA allocation, it must implement specific goals and policies to ensure they will be met by the end of the Sixth Cycle in 2029. The City has not adopted any affordable housing requirements or ordinances and it is not clear how it will meet its RHNA allocation without doing so. The City should incorporate specific plans or policies to ensure below moderate-income housing units will be constructed to ensure consistency with state housing law.

D. <u>The IS / ND Fails to Demonstrate Internal Consistency with the General</u> <u>Plan</u>

The legislature has expressed its intent that "the general plan and elements and parts thereof comprise an integrated, internally consistent and compatible statement of policies for the adopting agency." Gov. Code §65300.5. This statute requires the policies of a general plan to be consistent, but not necessarily with the objectives within the various elements of the general plan. *Cadiz Land Co. v Rail Cycle, L.P.* (2000) 83 Cal. App. 4th 74, 115.

Here, the City is updating the General Plan Housing Element in conformance with the 2021-2029 update cycle for jurisdictions in the SANDAG region and Cal. Gov. Code requirements. However, the City is not updating other General Plan elements to ensure that the General Plan is internally consistent.

The Housing Element merely states that "the [General] Plan will be reviewed [in the future] to ensure internal consistency is maintained." (Attachment 1 to IS / ND, HEU, 3.) Gov. Code §65300.5 requires that the other General Plan elements affected by the HEU be concurrently updated to ensure internal GP consistency. Thus, if the City adopts the proposed HEU, the GP will not be internally consistent. And if it will be, the IS/ND has not analyzed that issue.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Commenters request that the City prepare a Mitigated Negative Declaration or Environmental Impact Report for the Project that addresses all the aforementioned issues raised. City of Santee – Agenda Item No. 10 July 12, 2021 Page 21 of 21

Please contact my Office if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

12 Mitchell M. Tsai

Attorneys for Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B); and

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C).

EXHIBIT A



2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G, C.Hg. (949) 887-9013 mhagemann@swape.com

> Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD (310) 795-2335 prosenfeld@swape.com

March 8, 2021

Mitchell M. Tsai 155 South El Molino, Suite 104 Pasadena, CA 91101

Subject: Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Dear Mr. Tsai,

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE") is pleased to provide the following draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. The report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts.

Worker Trips and Greenhouse Gas Calculations

The California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") is a "statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects."¹ CalEEMod quantifies construction-related emissions associated with land use projects resulting from off-road construction equipment; on-road mobile equipment associated with workers, vendors, and hauling; fugitive dust associated with grading, demolition, truck loading, and on-road vehicles traveling along paved and unpaved roads; and architectural coating activities; and paving.²

The number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.³

¹ "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

² "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, *available at:* http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

³ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/defaultsource/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Specifically, the number and length of vehicle trips is utilized to estimate the vehicle miles travelled ("VMT") associated with construction. Then, utilizing vehicle-class specific EMFAC 2014 emission factors, CalEEMod calculates the vehicle exhaust, evaporative, and dust emissions resulting from construction-related VMT, including personal vehicles for worker commuting.⁴

Specifically, in order to calculate VMT, CalEEMod multiplies the average daily trip rate by the average overall trip length (see excerpt below):

"VMT_d = Σ (Average Daily Trip Rate i * Average Overall Trip Length i) n

Where:

n = Number of land uses being modeled."5

Furthermore, to calculate the on-road emissions associated with worker trips, CalEEMod utilizes the following equation (see excerpt below):

"Emissions_{pollutant} = VMT * EF_{running,pollutant}

Where:

Emissions_{pollutant} = emissions from vehicle running for each pollutant

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

EF_{running,pollutant} = emission factor for running emissions."⁶

Thus, there is a direct relationship between trip length and VMT, as well as a direct relationship between VMT and vehicle running emissions. In other words, when the trip length is increased, the VMT and vehicle running emissions increase as a result. Thus, vehicle running emissions can be reduced by decreasing the average overall trip length, by way of a local hire requirement or otherwise.

Default Worker Trip Parameters and Potential Local Hire Requirements

As previously discussed, the number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.⁷ In order to understand how local hire requirements and associated worker trip length reductions impact GHG emissions calculations, it is important to consider the CalEEMod default worker trip parameters. CalEEMod provides recommended default values based on site-specific information, such as land use type, meteorological data, total lot acreage, project type and typical equipment associated with project type. If more specific project information is known, the user can change the default values and input project-specific values, but the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") requires that such changes be justified by substantial evidence.⁸ The default number of construction-related worker trips is calculated by multiplying the

⁴ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 14-15.

⁵ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 23.

⁶ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6</u>, p. 15.

⁷ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-</u> source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2 15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Source/caleeniou/or user-59-s-guide2010-5-2 IShovember2017.pdfstvtsh-4, p. 5

⁸ CalEEMod User Guide, *available at:* <u>http://www.caleemod.com/</u>, p. 1, 9.

number of pieces of equipment for all phases by 1.25, with the exception of worker trips required for the building construction and architectural coating phases.⁹ Furthermore, the worker trip vehicle class is a 50/25/25 percent mix of light duty autos, light duty truck class 1 and light duty truck class 2, respectively."¹⁰ Finally, the default worker trip length is consistent with the length of the operational home-to-work vehicle trips.¹¹ The operational home-to-work vehicle trip lengths are:

"[B]ased on the <u>location</u> and <u>urbanization</u> selected on the project characteristic screen. These values were <u>supplied by the air districts or use o default average for the state</u>. Each district (or county) also assigns trip lengths for urban and rural settings" (emphasis added).¹²

Thus, the default worker trip length is based on the location and urbanization level selected by the User when modeling emissions. The below table shows the CalEEMod default rural and urban worker trip lengths by air basin (see excerpt below and Attachment A).¹³

| Worker Trip Length by Air Basin | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Air Basin | Rural (miles) | Urban (miles) |
| Great Basin Valleys | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake County | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Lake Tahoe | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mojave Desert | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Mountain Counties | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| North Central Coast | 17.1 | 12.3 |
| North Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Northeast Plateau | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Sacramento Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| Salton Sea | 14.6 | 11 |
| San Diego | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| San Joaquin Valley | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Central Coast | 16.8 | 10.8 |
| South Coast | 19.8 | 14.7 |
| Average | 16.47 | 11.17 |
| Minimum | 10.80 | 10.80 |
| Maximum | 19.80 | 14.70 |
| Range | 9.00 | 3.90 |

 ⁹ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/01_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. 34.
¹⁰ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15. ¹¹ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA. October 2017. *available at:*

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14.

¹² "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 21.

¹³ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05</u> appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. D-84 – D-86.

As demonstrated above, default rural worker trip lengths for air basins in California vary from 10.8- to 19.8miles, with an average of 16.47 miles. Furthermore, default urban worker trip lengths vary from 10.8- to 14.7miles, with an average of 11.17 miles. Thus, while default worker trip lengths vary by location, default urban worker trip lengths tend to be shorter in length. Based on these trends evident in the CalEEMod default worker trip lengths, we can reasonably assume that the efficacy of a local hire requirement is especially dependent upon the urbanization of the project site, as well as the project location.

Practical Application of a Local Hire Requirement and Associated Impact

To provide an example of the potential impact of a local hire provision on construction-related GHG emissions, we estimated the significance of a local hire provision for the Village South Specific Plan ("Project") located in the City of Claremont ("City"). The Project proposed to construct 1,000 residential units, 100,000-SF of retail space, 45,000-SF of office space, as well as a 50-room hotel, on the 24-acre site. The Project location is classified as Urban and lies within the Los Angeles-South Coast County. As a result, the Project has a default worker trip length of 14.7 miles.¹⁴ In an effort to evaluate the potential for a local hire provision to reduce the Project's construction-related GHG emissions, we prepared an updated model, reducing all worker trip lengths to 10 miles (see Attachment B). Our analysis estimates that if a local hire provision with a 10-mile radius were to be implemented, the GHG emissions associated with Project construction would decrease by approximately 17% (see table below and Attachment C).

| Local Hire Provision Net Change | | |
|--|--------|--|
| Without Local Hire Provision | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e) | 3,623 | |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO ₂ e/year) | 120.77 | |
| With Local Hire Provision | | |
| Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e) | 3,024 | |
| Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e/year) | | |
| % Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions | 17% | |

As demonstrated above, by implementing a local hire provision requiring 10 mile worker trip lengths, the Project could reduce potential GHG emissions associated with construction worker trips. More broadly, any local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects. As previously described, the significance of a local hire requirement depends on the worker trip length enforced and the default worker trip length for the project's urbanization level and location.

¹⁴ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, *available at:* <u>http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05_appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4</u>, p. D-85.

Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,

.gH.D ,.D.9 ,nnemegeH JfeM

and Courpeld

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

EXHIBIT B



Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Principal Environmental Chemist

Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist

Education

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

Professional Experience

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from unconventional oil drilling operations, oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, and many other industrial and agricultural sources. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at dozens of sites and has testified as an expert witness on more than ten cases involving exposure to air contaminants from industrial sources.

Professional History:

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher) UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate Komex H₂O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 - 2000; Risk Assessor King County, Seattle, 1996 - 1999; Scientist James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

Publications:

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., **Rosenfeld P. E.** (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. **Rosenfeld**, **P**., (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. Journal of Real Estate Research. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., **Rosenfeld, P. E.,** Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermod and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). The Risks of Hazardous Waste. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2011). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., **Rosenfeld, P.** (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2009). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld**, P. (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution*, 123 (17), 319-327.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.

Tam L. K., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.

Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, **Rosenfeld**, **P.E.** (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.

Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.

Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). *Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities*. Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9),171-178.

Rosenfeld P. E., J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC) 2004*. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.

Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M. A., Sellew, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*. 76(4), 310-315.

Rosenfeld, P.E., Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS–6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**., and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*. 127(1-4), 173-191.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research*. 131(1-4), 247-262.

Chollack, T. and **P. Rosenfeld.** (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts, 3(2).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.

Rosenfeld, **P. E.** (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

Presentations:

Rosenfeld, P.E., Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. 44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.

Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; **Rosenfeld, P.E.** (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluoroactane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States" Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. *2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting*. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., **Rosenfeld, P**. (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., *Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 – 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants – DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.

Hensley A.R., Scott, A., **Rosenfeld P.E.**, Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition*. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. *International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants*. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.

Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld**, **Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. *Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.

Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference Orlando, FL.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants.*. Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, *Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association.* Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, **P.E**. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. *Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association*. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.** and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..

Rosenfeld, P.E. and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.** (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. *California Resource Recovery Association*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.

Rosenfeld, **P.E.**, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest.* Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E, C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Teaching Experience:

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

Academic Grants Awarded:

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University. Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:

| Dua Cas | States District Court For The District of New Jersey arte et al, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. <i>Defendant</i> . e No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM enfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019 |
|---------------------|---|
| M/T Defe Case | States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division Carla Maersk, <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS "Conti Perdido" <i>Pendant.</i> e No.: 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237 enfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019 |
| Ċaro Cas | ior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica ole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants e No.: No. BC615636 enfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019 |
| The Case | ior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles – Santa Monica San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants e No.: No. BC646857 enfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19 |
| Bell Case | ntes District Court For The District of Colorado ls et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants e: No 1:16-cv-02531-RBJ enfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018 |
| Phil Cau | ct Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112 th Judicial District lip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosciences, LLC, et al., Defendants se No 1923 enfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017 |
| Sim Cau | ior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa ons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants se No C12-01481 enfeld Deposition, 11-20-2017 |
| Mar Cas | it Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois tha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants e No.: No. 0i9-L-2295 enfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017 |
| War Cas | ior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles rrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC e No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154) enfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018 |
| Breaction | ern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division nda J. Cooper, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> , vs. Meritor Inc., et al., <i>Defendants</i> e Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM |

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5 Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017 Trial. March 2017 In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants Case No.: RG14711115 Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015 In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants Case No.: LALA002187 Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Jerry Dovico, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Valley View Sine LLC, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County Doug Pauls, et al., et al., Plaintiffs vs. Richard Warren, et al., Defendants Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015 In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al. Civil Action N0. 14-C-30000 Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015 In The Third Judicial District County of Dona Ana, New Mexico Betty Gonzalez, et al. Plaintiffs vs. Del Oro Dairy, Del Oro Real Estate LLC, Jerry Settles and Deward DeRuyter, Defendants Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2015 In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant Case No 4980 Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015 In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant. Case Number CACE07030358 (26) Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014 In the United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma Tommy McCarty, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Oklahoma City Landfill, LLC d/b/a Southeast Oklahoma City Landfill, et al. Defendants. Case No. 5:12-cv-01152-C Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas Lisa Parr et al, *Plaintiff*, vs. Aruba et al, *Defendant*. Case Number cc-11-01650-E Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013 Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio John Michael Abicht, et al., *Plaintiffs*, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., *Defendants* Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987) Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

 In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division
Kyle Cannon, Eugene Donovan, Genaro Ramirez, Carol Sassler, and Harvey Walton, each Individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, vs. BP Products North America, Inc., *Defendant*. Case 3:10-cv-00622
Rosenfeld Deposition: February 2012
Rosenfeld Trial: April 2013

In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County Maryland

Philip E. Cvach, II et al., *Plaintiffs* vs. Two Farms, Inc. d/b/a Royal Farms, Defendants Case Number: 03-C-12-012487 OT Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2013

EXHIBIT C



Technical Consultation, Data Analysis and Litigation Support for the Environment

> 1640 5th St.., Suite 204 Santa Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (949) 887-9013 Email: <u>mhagemann@swape.com</u>

Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization Industrial Stormwater Compliance Investigation and Remediation Strategies Litigation Support and Testifying Expert CEQA Review

Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984. B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

Professional Certifications:

California Professional Geologist California Certified Hydrogeologist Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

Professional Experience:

Matt has 25 years of experience in environmental policy, assessment and remediation. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) while also working with permit holders to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring.

Matt has worked closely with U.S. EPA legal counsel and the technical staff of several states in the application and enforcement of RCRA, Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act regulations. Matt has trained the technical staff in the States of California, Hawaii, Nevada, Arizona and the Territory of Guam in the conduct of investigations, groundwater fundamentals, and sampling techniques.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 2014;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H2O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989–1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 1986).

Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt's responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 100 environmental impact reports since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water resources, water quality, air quality, Valley Fever, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins and Valley Fever.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at industrial facilities.
- Manager of a project to provide technical assistance to a community adjacent to a former Naval shipyard under a grant from the U.S. EPA.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.
- Expert witness on two cases involving MTBE litigation.
- Expert witness and litigation support on the impact of air toxins and hazards at a school.
- Expert witness in litigation at a former plywood plant.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt's duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.

• Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

Executive Director:

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

<u>Hydrogeology:</u>

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports, conducted public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very concerned about the impact of designation.

• Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S. EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nation-wide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, Oxygenates in Water: Critical Information and Research Needs.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific principles into the policy-making process.
- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

<u>Teaching:</u>

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt taught physical geology (lecture and lab and introductory geology at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California from 2010 to 2014.

Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

Hagemann, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Coloradao.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

Hagemann, **M.F**., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal repesentatives, Parker, AZ.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann**, M.F. 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

Hagemann, M.F., 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

Hagemann, M.F., 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Hagemann, M.F., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

Hagemann, M.F., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

Hagemann, M. F., Fukanaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

Hagemann, M.F., 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPLcontaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting. **Hagemann, M.F**., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

Other Experience:

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examination, 2009-2011.