## **ATTACHMENT 11**



## Appeal City of American Canyon

**Community Development Department** 

4381 Broadway, Suite 201 American Canyon, CA 94503

For Office Use Only:	
Date Received:	Ву:
Case Numbers:	
Approved:	

Project or applica	1075 Commerc	ce Court (Assessor Parcel Number 058-030-065)
r roject or opplied		
Appellant name	LIUNA Local No. 324	Appellant address 611 Berrellesa St
Cîty, state, zip	Martinez, CA 94553	Phone no. 510-836-4200 x. 1 Fax no.
Bus License #		
Appellant repres	entative Michael Lozeau, Lozeau Drury LLP	Representative address 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150
City, state, zip	Oakland, CA 94612	Phone no. 510-836-4200 Fax no.
Bus License #		
Type of appeal	l:	
Appea	al of Staff administrative decision	Date of decision
<b>√</b> Appea	al of Planning Commission decision	Date of decision February 25, 2021
Description of	matter appealed:	
<b>✓</b> Appro	oval of project or application	
	of project or application	
Condi	itions of project approval – number(s)	
Other		
_	mon which this appeal is filed are (list all	grounds relied upon in making this appeal; please attach additional sheets if
more space is a	needed): antial evidence of fair arguments that the Projec	t may have significant impacts from increases in vehicle miles travelled, significant wildlife
There is substa impacts, air qua	needed): antial evidence of fair arguments that the Projec ality, GHG, and health risk impacts requiring the p	et may have significant impacts from increases in vehicle miles travelled, significant wildlife preparation of an EIR for the project. The MND also is not supported by substantial evidence.
There is substated impacts, air qual Each of the	needed): antial evidence of fair arguments that the Project ality, GHG, and health risk impacts requiring the pase issues were raised in the comme	t may have significant impacts from increases in vehicle miles travelled, significant wildlife preparation of an EIR for the project. The MND also is not supported by substantial evidence.  The ments submitted to the Planning Commission and attached hereto.  Manager, Planning Commission or City Council to take is:
more space is a There is substa impacts, air qua Each of the The specific ac LIUNA reques	needed): antial evidence of fair arguments that the Project ality, GHG, and health risk impacts requiring the pase issues were raised in the comme	t may have significant impacts from increases in vehicle miles travelled, significant wildlife preparation of an EIR for the project. The MND also is not supported by substantial evidence.  The ments submitted to the Planning Commission and attached hereto.  Manager, Planning Commission or City Council to take is:  The project and its associated MND and require the preparation of a new MND that fully
more space is a There is substa impacts, air qua Each of the The specific ac LIUNA reques	needed): antial evidence of fair arguments that the Projectality, GHG, and health risk impacts requiring the pase issues were raised in the committion which the appellant wants the City state the City Council rescind the approval of the Project's potential impacts or passes.	t may have significant impacts from increases in vehicle miles travelled, significant wildlife preparation of an EIR for the project. The MND also is not supported by substantial evidence.  The ments submitted to the Planning Commission and attached hereto.  Manager, Planning Commission or City Council to take is:  The project and its associated MND and require the preparation of a new MND that fully