**ORIGINAL** 

## APPLICATIONS:

## APPEAL APPLICATION

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1.	APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION				
	Appellant Body:				
	☐ Area Planning Commission ☑ City Planning Commission ☑ City Council ☐ Director of Planning				
	Regarding Case Number. VTT MAP NO": 74564 4				
	Project Address: 2110 and 2130 East Bay Street, 2141 East Sacramento Street				
	Final Date to Appeal: 05/06/2019				
	Type of Appeal:  ☐ Appeal by Applicant/Owner ☐ Appeal by a person, other than the Applicant/Owner, claiming to be aggrieved ☐ Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety				
2.	APPELLANT INFORMATION				
	Appellant's name (print): Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility				
	Company:				
	Mailing Address: 4399 Santa Anita Ave., Suite 205				
	City: El Monte State: CA Zip: 91731				
	Telephone: (510) 836-4200 E-mail: nchard@lozeaudrury.com				
	Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?      ☑ Self □ Other:				
	Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position?  ☐ Yes ☐ No				
3.	REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION				
	Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Richard Drury				
	Company: Lozeau Drury LLP				
	Mailing Address: 1939 Harrison Street, Suite 150				
	City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94612				
	Telephone: (510) 836-4200 F-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com				

4.	JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEAL				
	Is the entire decision, or only parts of it being appealed?	☑ Entire	☐ Part		
	Are specific conditions of approval being appealed?	☑ Yes	□ No		
	If Yes, list the condition number(s) here: All Conditions		_		
	Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:				
	The reason for the appeal     How you are agg	rieved by the decis	sion		
	Specifically the points at issue     Why you believe	the decision-make	er erred or abused their discretion		
5.	APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT				
	I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:				
	Appellant Signature:		Date: 05/03/2019		
	FILING DECUMPENTAL PROPERTY AND				
).	FILING REQUIREMENTS/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION				
	<ul> <li>Eight (8) sets of the following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 7 duplicates):</li> </ul>				
	o Appeal Application (form CP-7769)				
	Justification/Reason for Appeal     Copies of Original Determination Letter				
	<ul> <li>A Filing Fee must be paid at the time of filing the appeal per LAMC Section 19.01 B.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Original applicants must provide a copy of the their 85% appeal filing fee).</li> </ul>	original application	on receipt(s) (required to calculate		
	<ul> <li>All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC set the LAMC, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing co</li> </ul>	ction(s). Original A ntractor (BTC) and	pplicants must provide noticing per submit a copy of the receipt.		
	<ul> <li>Appellants filing an appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety per LAMC 12.26 K are considered Original Applicants and must provide noticing per LAMC 12.26 K.7, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt.</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person ide CNC may <u>not</u> file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborho file as an <u>individual on behalf of self</u>.</li> </ul>	ntified as a memb ood Council; perso	er of a CNC or as representing the ons affiliated with a CNC may only		
	<ul> <li>Appeals of Density Bonus cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation).</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days Commission.</li> </ul>	Tentative Tract of the date of t	(TT or VTT) by the Area or City he written determination of said		
	<ul> <li>A CEQA document can only be appealed if a non-elected a determination for a project that is not further appealable</li> </ul>	d decision-making e. [CA Public Reso	body (ZA, APC, CPC, etc.) makes urces Code + 21151 (c)].		
	This Section for City Planning	Staff Use Only	-		
Bas	Reviewed & Accepted by (D)		Date:		
	\$89.00 AnnaVan		05/06/2019		
Rec	Seipt No: Deemed Complete by (Project Open Seipt No: Deemed Complete by (Project Open Seipt No: Deemed Complete by (Project Open Seipt No: Deemed Complete December Open Seipt No: December Open Seipt No: Deemed Complete December Open Seipt No: December Op	ct Planner):	Date:		
1					
	Determination authority notified	ceipt and BTC recei	pt (if original applicant)		

## Justification/Reason for Appeal

2110 Bay Street

Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. 74564 (VTT 74564); ENV-2016-3480-EIR 2110 and 2130 East Bay Street, 2141 East Sacramento Street (Project Site)

**REASON FOR THE APPEAL:** The Environmental Impact Report ("EIR") prepared for the 2110 Bay Street Project (CEQA No. ENV-2016 3480-EIR) ("Project") fails to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

SPECIFICALLY THE POINTS IN ISSUE: The EIR fails to adequately analyze environmental impacts of the Project, fails to adequately describe the environmental setting of the Project, and fails to propose all feasible mitigation measures and alternatives to reduce Project impacts. Specifically, the EIR found potentially significant impacts in the following categories: noise, public services, transportation and traffic. It also found potentially significant impacts for one of the mandatory findings of significance required by CEQA. Appellant also believes the Project will have significant air quality impacts, indoor air quality impacts, as well as traffic impacts and other impacts. The CEQA document fails to tie environmental impacts to human health impacts in violation of CEQA. These potentially significant impacts must be analyzed in a revised EIR.

HOW YOU ARE AGGREIVED BY THE DECISION: Members of appellants Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility ("SAFER") live in the vicinity of the proposed Project. They breathe the air, suffer traffic congestion, and will suffer other environmental impacts of the Project unless it is properly mitigated. Members of SAFER, will be directly affected by soil contamination, improperly controlled construction equipment, and other risks during Project construction.

WHY YOU BELIEVE THE DECISION-MAKER ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION: The Advisory Agency approved the EIR, the Mitigation Monitoring Program, Statement of Overriding Considerations and the Vesting Tentative Tract No. VTT 74564 for the Project despite the fact that the EIR fails to comply with CEQA.