



## APPLICATIONS:

## APPEAL APPLICATION

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1.	APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION						
	Appellant Body:						
	☐ Area Planning Commission ☐ City Planning Commission ☐ City Council ☐ Director of Planning						
	Regarding Case Number: VTT-74760-1A; CEQA: ENV-2016-4711-MND						
	Project Address: 1000 S. Hill Street (1000-1034 S. Hill Street, 220-226 W. Olympic Boulevard)						
	Final Date to Appeal: December 7, 2018						
	Type of Appeal: ☐ Appeal by Applicant/Owner ☐ Appeal by a person, other than the Applicant/Owner, claiming to be aggrieved ☐ Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety						
2.	APPELLANT INFORMATION						
	Appellant's name (print): Laborer's International Union of North America, Local 300						
	Company: Laborer's International Union of North America, Local 300						
	Mailing Address: 2005 W. Pico Blvd.						
	City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90006						
	Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com						
	Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?  Self  Other:						
2	● Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position? ☐ Yes ☐ No REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION						
	Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Richard Drury  Company: Lozeau Drury LLP						
	Mailing Address: 410 12th Street, Suite 250  City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94607						
	Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com						

4.	4. JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPI	EAL.					
***	Is the entire decision, or only parts of it		☐ Entire	☐ Part			
			_	3,77			
	Are specific conditions of approval being	ig appealed?	1 Yes	□ No			
	If Yes, list the condition number(s) here: All Conditions						
	Attach a separate sheet providing your	Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:					
	The reason for the appeal     How you are aggrieved by the decision						
	<ul> <li>Specifically the points at issue</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Specifically the points at issue</li> <li>Why you believe the decision-maker erred or abused their discretion</li> </ul>					
5.	I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:  Appellant Signature:  Date: 12/3/18						
6.	FILING REQUIREMENTS/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION						
	<ul> <li>Eight (8) sets of the following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 7 duplicates):</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Appeal Application (form CP-7769)</li> </ul>						
	Justification/Reason for Appeal     Copies of Original Determination Letter						
	o Copies of Original Determination Letter						
	<ul> <li>A Filing Fee must be paid at the time of filing the appeal per LAMC Section 19.01 B.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Original applicants must provide a copy of the original application receipt(s) (required to calculate their 85% appeal filing fee).</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide noticing per the LAMC, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of the receipt.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Appellants filing an appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety per LAMC 12.26 K are considered Original Applicants and must provide noticing per LAMC 12.26 K.7, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as representing the CNC may <u>not</u> file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC may only file as an <u>individual on behalf of self</u>.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Appeals of Density Bonus cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation).</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Area or City Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the <u>date of the written determination</u> of said Commission.</li> </ul>						
	<ul> <li>A CEQA document can only be appealed if a non-elected decision-making body (ZA, APC, CPC, etc.) makes a determination for a project that is not further appealable. [CA Public Resources Code ' 21151 (c)].</li> </ul>						
		is Section for City Planning Staff L					
1	Base Fee: 89,00	viewed & Accepted by (DSC Pla	nner).	Date: 12/5/18			
-	Receipt No:	amen Complete by (Project Plan	ner):	Date:			

☐ Determination authority notified

☐ Original receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant)



## Justification/Reason for Appeal

## Olympic and Hill Project

Case No. VTT-74760-1A; CEQA: ENV-2016-4711-MND

1000 South Hill Street (1000-1034 South Hill Street, 220-226 West Olympic Boulevard, (Project Site)

REASON FOR THE APPEAL: The Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) prepared for the Olympic and Hill Project (CEQA No. ENV-2016-4711-MND) ("Project") fails to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). It is improper to approve the Vesting Tentative Tract Map unless and until an environmental impact report ("EIR") is prepared for the Project.

SPECIFICALLY THE POINTS IN ISSUE: The MND fails to adequately analyze environmental impacts of the Project, fails to adequately describe the environmental setting of the Project, and fails to propose all feasible mitigation measures and alternatives to reduce Project impacts. Specifically, the MND found potentially significant impacts in the following categories: biological resources, hazards and hazardous materials, noise, public services, transportation and traffic, and tribal cultural resources. It also found potentially significant impacts for one of the mandatory findings of significance required by CEQA. Appellant also believes the Project will have significant air quality impacts as well as greenhouse gas impacts. These potentially significant impacts must be analyzed in an EIR. It is improper to approve the Vesting Tentative Tract Map unless and until an environmental impact report ("EIR") is prepared for the Project.

HOW YOU ARE AGGREIVED BY THE DECISION: Members of appellants Laborers International Union of North America (LIUNA) Local 300 live in the vicinity of the proposed Project. They breathe the air, suffer traffic congestion, and will suffer other environmental impacts of the Project unless it is properly mitigated. Construction workers, such as the members of LIUNA Local 300, will be directly affected by soil contamination, improperly controlled construction equipment, and other risks during Project construction.

WHY YOU BELIEVE THE DECISION-MAKER ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION: The Planning Commission approved the MND, the Mitigation Monitoring Program, and the Vesting Tentative Tract No. 74760, 74760-1A for the Project despite the fact that there is substantial evidence demonstrating that the MND fails to comply with CEQA. The Planning Commission failed to respond to substantial evidence presented concerning the MND's legal deficiencies. The Planning Commission approved portions of the Project (e.g. the vesting tentative tract map) despite the fact that the CEQA document is still subject to appeal and is in fact being appealed.