

36.42 36.42 41c 12th Street Suit Ziel

www.lozealining.cm dlug@lozealining.cm

Via E-Mail and Overnight Mail

February 12, 2018

Mayor Gloria Garcia and City Council c/o City Clerk Charlene Robinson City Council Chambers 14343 Civic Drive Victorville, CA 92392 ggarcia@victorvilleca.gov hroche@victorvilleca.gov crobinson@victorvilleca.gov Michael Szarzynski, Senior Planner City of Victorville 14343 Civic Drive P.O. Box 5001 Victorville, CA 92393-5001 MSzarzynski@victorvilleca.gov

Re: Request for Continuance of Appeal Hearing Concerning Resolution No. P-17-026, Approving Case PLN17-00017 and Adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration for Rubbermaid Warehouse Expansion (SCH2017061073)

Dear Mayor Garcia and Honorable Members of the City Council:

I am writing on behalf of the Laborers International Union of North America, Local Union 783 and its members living in the San Bernardino County ("LIUNA" or "Appellants") to request a continuance of the City Council hearing regarding our appeal of the Planning Commission's adoption of resolution No. P-17-026, approval of case PLN17-00017, and adoption of an Initial Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration ("IS/MND") prepared for the project known as the Rubbermaid Warehouse Expansion, a site plan to allow for an approximately 500,000 sq ft addition to an existing distribution warehouse (SCH2017061073), including all actions referring or related to the 466,255 sf expansion to an existing 584,412 sf warehouse/distribution building on 52 acres located at 17182 Nevada Avenue on Parcel No: 0459-194-05 in the City of Victorville (the "Project").

As you know, LIUNA has appealed the Planning Commission's approval of the IS/MND for the Project. I understand that the appeal is scheduled to be heard by the City Council on February 20, 2018. We request that the matter be continued to the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting (March 6, 2018), or to the following meeting (March 20, 2018) if the March 6 meeting is unavailable. The reason for this request is to allow the parties to engage in discussions in hopes that the matter may be resolved without the need for the appeal hearing. I understand that the developer supports this request. Thank you for considering this request.

Sincerely,

Richard Drury