



APPLICATIONS:

APPEAL APPLICATION

This application is to be used for any appeals authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) for discretionary actions administered by the Department of City Planning.

1. APPELLANT BODY/CASE INFORMATION

Appellant Body:

- Area Planning Commission
- City Planning Commission
- City Council
- Director of Planning

Regarding Case Number: VTT-74200

Project Address: 129-135 W. College Street and 924 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012

Final Date to Appeal: 11/16/2018

- Type of Appeal:
- Appeal by Applicant/Owner
 - Appeal by a person, other than the Applicant/Owner, claiming to be aggrieved
 - Appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety

2. APPELLANT INFORMATION

Appellant's name (print): Laborer's International Union of North America, Local 300

Company: LIUNA, Local Union 300

Mailing Address: 2005 W. Pico Blvd.

City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90006

Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com

- Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?
 - Self
 - Other: _____

- Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position?
 - Yes
 - No

3. REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION

Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Richard Drury

Company: Lozeau Drury LLP

Mailing Address: 410 12th Street, Suite 250

City: Oakland State: CA Zip: 94607

Telephone: 510-836-4200 E-mail: richard@lozeaudrury.com

4. **JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEAL**

Is the entire decision, or only parts of it being appealed? Entire Part

Are specific conditions of approval being appealed? Yes No

If Yes, list the condition number(s) here: All Conditions

Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:

- The reason for the appeal
- How you are aggrieved by the decision
- Specifically the points at issue
- Why you believe the decision-maker erred or abused their discretion

5. **APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT**

I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:

Appellant Signature: [Signature]

Date: 11/13/18

6. **FILING REQUIREMENTS/ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

- Eight (8) sets of the following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 7 duplicates):
 - Appeal Application (form CP-7769)
 - Justification/Reason for Appeal
 - Copies of Original Determination Letter
- A Filing Fee must be paid at the time of filing the appeal per LAMC Section 19.01 B.
 - Original applicants must provide a copy of the original application receipt(s) (required to calculate their 85% appeal filing fee).
- All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide noticing per the LAMC, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of the receipt.
- Appellants filing an appeal from a determination made by the Department of Building and Safety per LAMC 12.26 K are considered Original Applicants and must provide noticing per LAMC 12.26 K.7, pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt.
- A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as representing the CNC may not file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC may only file as an individual on behalf of self.
- Appeals of Density Bonus cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation).
- Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Area or City Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the date of the written determination of said Commission.
- A CEQA document can only be appealed if a non-elected decision-making body (ZA, APC, CPC, etc.) makes a determination for a project that is not further appealable. [CA Public Resources Code ' 21151 (c)].

This Section for City Planning Staff Use Only		
Base Fee: <u>89-</u>	Reviewed & Accepted by (DSC Planner): <u>[Signature]</u>	Date: <u>11/15/18</u>
Receipt No: <u>51247</u>	Deemed Complete by (Project Planner):	Date:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Determination authority notified		<input type="checkbox"/> Original receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant)

Office: Downtown
 Applicant Copy
 Application Invoice



Scan this QR Code® with a barcode reading app on your Smartphone. Bookmark page for future reference.

LA Department of Building and Safety
 LA NELI 101135388 11/15/2018 1:13:48 PM

NOTICE: The sta
 y
 PLAN & LAND USE \$106.80
 DEV SERV CENTER SURCH-PLANNING \$2.67
 Sub Total: \$109.47

quest
 accord the same full and impartial consideration to services of anyone to represent you.
 article 9, L.A.M.C.

Receipt #: 0101969049

Applicant: LIUNA, LOCAL UNION 300 (B:510-8364200)
Representative: LOZEAU DRURY LLP - DRURY, RICHARD (B:510-8364200)
Project Address: 129-135 W COLLEGE ST, 90012

NOTES:

VTT-74200-1A			
Item	Fee	%	Charged Fee
Appeal by Aggrieved Parties Other than the Original Applicant *	\$89.00	100%	\$89.00
Case Total			\$89.00

Item	Charged Fee
*Fees Subject to Surcharges	\$89.00
Fees Not Subject to Surcharges	\$0.00
Plan & Land Use Fees Total	\$89.00
Expediting Fee	\$0.00
Development Services Center Surcharge (3%)	\$2.67
City Planning Systems Development Surcharge (6%)	\$5.34
Operating Surcharge (7%)	\$6.23
General Plan Maintenance Surcharge (7%)	\$6.23
Grand Total	\$109.47
Total Invoice	\$109.47
Total Overpayment Amount	\$0.00
Total Paid (this amount must equal the sum of all checks)	\$109.47

LA Department of Building and Safety
 LA NELI 101135388 11/15/2018 1:13:48 PM
 PLAN & LAND USE \$106.80
 DEV SERV CENTER SURCH-PLANNING \$2.67
 Sub Total: \$109.47

Receipt #: 0101969049

Council District: 1
 Plan Area: Central City North
 Processed by KIM, STEVE on 11/15/2018

Signature: _____

Justification/Reason for Appeal

College Station Project

Vesting Tentative Tract Map No. VTT-74200

129-135 W. College Street and 924 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012 (Project Site)

REASON FOR THE APPEAL: The Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”) prepared for the College Station Project (CEQA No. ENV-2012-2055-EIR) (“Project”) fails to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

SPECIFICALLY THE POINTS IN ISSUE: The EIR fails to adequately analyze environmental impacts of the Project, fails to adequately describe the environmental setting of the Project, and fails to propose all feasible mitigation measures and alternatives to reduce Project impacts. Specifically, the EIR found potentially significant impacts in the following categories: noise, public services, transportation and traffic. It also found potentially significant impacts for one of the mandatory findings of significance required by CEQA. Appellant also believes the Project will have significant air quality impacts as well as traffic impacts. These potentially significant impacts must be analyzed in an EIR.

HOW YOU ARE AGGREIVED BY THE DECISION: Members of appellants Laborers International Union of North America (LIUNA) Local 300 live in the vicinity of the proposed Project. They breathe the air, suffer traffic congestion, and will suffer other environmental impacts of the Project unless it is properly mitigated. Construction workers, such as the members of LIUNA Local 300, will be directly affected by soil contamination, improperly controlled construction equipment, and other risks during Project construction.

WHY YOU BELIEVE THE DECISION-MAKER ERRED OR ABUSED THEIR DISCRETION: The Advisory Agency approved the EIR, the Mitigation Monitoring Program, and the Vesting Tentative Tract No. VTT-74200 for the Project despite the fact that the EIR fails to comply with CEQA.